



# ecology and environment, inc.

101 YESLER WAY, SEATTLE, WASHINGTON, 98104, TEL. 206/624-9537

International Specialists in the Environment

## MEMORANDUM

DATE: December 13, 1988

TO: David Bennett, HWD, USEPA, Region X

THRU: Jeffrey Villnow, FIT-OM, E&E, Seattle *99H*

FROM: Thomas Colligan, FIT-PM, E&E, Seattle *TC*

SUBJ: Preliminary HRS Score  
American Tar Company  
Spokane, Washington

REF: TDD F10-8808-10  
PAN FWA0594SA

CC: John Osborn, FIT-RPO, USEPA, Region X

A revised preliminary HRS score was computed for the American Tar Company site. The revision to the original score, submitted on November 17, 1988, was based on a consideration of seasonal rainfall in the Spokane area of +5.2 inches (measured from November 1 to April 30). This revision resulted in an HRS value of 2 for net precipitation and a concomitant increase in the hazard migration mode score to 22.15 from 17.68.

TC:csr

Enclosures





## ecology and environment, inc.

101 YESLER WAY, SEATTLE, WASHINGTON, 98104, TEL. 206/624-9537

International Specialists in the Environment

### MEMORANDUM

DATE: December 13, 1988

TO: John Osborn, FIT-RPO, USEPA, Region X

THRU: Jeffrey Villnow, FIT-OM, E&E, Seattle *JJV*

FROM: Thomas Colligan, FIT-PM, E&E, Seattle *TC*

SUBJ: Final Site Inspection Report  
American Tar Company  
Spokane, Washington

REF: TDD F10-8808-10  
PAN FWA0594SA

CC: Deborah Flood, HWD-SM, USEPA, Region X

Transmitted herewith are two (2) sets of revised pages for the American Tar Company Site Inspection Report incorporating EPA comments. This report will be considered final.

Please note that these revisions also resulted in a revision of the preliminary HRS score submitted on November 17, 1988. These revisions are addressed in separate memoranda.

TC:csr

Enclosures





# ecology and environment, inc.

101 YESLER WAY, SEATTLE, WASHINGTON, 98104, TEL. 206/624-9537

International Specialists in the Environment

## MEMORANDUM

~~PREDECISIONAL~~  
~~NOT FOR RELEASE~~  
sk me

DATE: November 17, 1988

TO: David Bennett, HWD, USEPA, Region X

THRU: Jeffrey Villnow, FIT-OM, E&E, Seattle *J*

FROM: Thomas Colligan, FIT-PM, E&E, Seattle *TC*

SUBJ: Preliminary HRS Score  
American Tar Company  
Spokane, Washington

REF: TDD F10-8808-10  
PAN FWA0594SA

CC: John Osborn, FIT-RPO, USEPA, Region X

A preliminary EPA Hazard Ranking System (HRS) score was computed for the American Tar Company Site based on data reviewed during the Site Inspection. As indicated in the attached table and score sheets, a preliminary hazard migration mode score of 17.68 was calculated based on known information and, when necessary, professional assumptions.

The score would increase to 38 if an observed release to groundwater could be documented. The probability of documenting a groundwater release is presumed low, however, because of the nature of the wastes at the site and the properties of the aquifer.

Aside from the risk of direct exposure, there does not appear to be any additional HRS II concerns to warrant scoring the site at a later date.

TC:csr

Enclosures



**SUMMARY OF EPA HAZARD RANKING SYSTEM (HRS) INFORMATION  
AVAILABLE AND REQUIRED**

Rating Factor	Preliminary HRS Data <sup>1.</sup>	HRS Value	References <sup>2.</sup>	Comments
<b>Ground Water Route Characteristics</b>				
Observed Release (yes/ <u>no</u> )				
Aquifer Depth	25-50 feet	2	WDOE Well Logs	
Net Precipitation	-10"	0	HRS User's Manual	
Vadose Zone Permeability	Sandy Alluvium	2	Site Visit	
Physical State of Waste	Unstabilized solid	1	Assumption	
Containment	None	3	Assumption	
Ground Water Use	Only source	3	Washington Public Water Supply Listing	
Distance to Nearest Well	~ 0.8 miles	3	Washington Public Water Supply Listing	
Population Served	> 100,000	5	Washington Public Water Supply Listing	
<b>Surface Water Route Characteristics</b>				
Observed Release (yes/ <u>no</u> )	No surface water score - wastes buried and in solid form.			
Facility Slope	<3%	0	Site Visit	
Intervening Terrain Slope	<3%	0	Site Visit	
1-Year 24-Hour Rainfall	1.5"	1	HRS	
Distance to Nearest Water	0.05 miles	3	Site Visit	
Physical State of Waste	Unstabilized solid	1	Assumption	
Containment	Buried	0	Site Visit	
Surface Water Use	Recreational	2	Washington Public Water Supply Listing	
Distance to Sensitive Environment	1-2 miles	0	Dept. of Fish & Wildlife-Wetlands Inventory	
Population Served	0	0	Washington Public Water Supply Listing	
Distance to SW Intake	>3 miles	0	N/A	
<b>Air Route Characteristics</b>				
Observed Release (yes/ <u>no</u> )	No air route considered - wastes buried, if any.			
Waste Reactivity/Incompatibility				
Population Within Four-Mile Radius				
Land Use				
<b>Waste Characteristics</b>				
	Coal tar is composed of polycyclic organic compounds - high toxicity and persistence.			
Toxicity	Maximum	3		
Persistence	Maximum	3		
Quantity	Unknown	1		
<b>Migration Hazard Mode Score (Sm)</b>		<b>17.68</b>		

1. Uses known/documented values, as indicated by the listed references.
2. See References following Section 4.0 in the Field Operations Work Plan.
3. Potential methods of acquiring missing HRS data within budget and schedule limitations of a typical Screening Site Inspection. For those data gaps requiring sampling, the reader is referred to Sections 3.0 and 4.0 of the Field Operations Work Plan.

INFORMATIONAL  
 NOT FOR RELEASE  
 01/08/00





PREDECISIONAL:  
NOT FOR RELEASE

OK

Facility name: American Tar Company

Location: Spokane WA

EPA Region: X

Person(s) in charge of the facility: INACTIVE FACILITY

Name of Reviewer: J. Colligan (E&E) Date: 10/6/88

General description of the facility:

(For example: landfill, surface impoundment, pile, container; types of hazardous substances; location of the facility; contamination route of major concern; types of information needed for rating; agency action, etc.)

Site is the location of former Tar  
Company which represented coal Tar manufactured  
by adjacent coal gasification plant

Score 17.68

Scores: S<sub>M</sub> =

S<sub>FE</sub> =

S<sub>DC</sub> =

(ASSUME OBS. RELEASE TO ENV; Then Score = 38)

HRS COVER SHEET



No sampling done

2 Most wells > 50' deep  
Total period 82' 5' cap = 32'  
3 Assume sand water from source  
pore. Assume Coal Tar =  
water from solid

No containment

Assume PAH compound in Tar  
Highly PER-ANT, Highly Toxic  
- UNKNOWN

Site Source water (spatially)

Nearest Well ~0.8 mile away  
Well system serves 100,000

Ground Water Route Work Sheet						
Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi-plier	Score	Max. Score	Ref. Section	
1 Observed Release	0 45	1		45	3.1	
If observed release is given a score of 45, proceed to line 4. If observed release is given a score of 0, proceed to line 21.						
2 Route Characteristics					3.2	
Depth to Aquifer of Concern	0 1 2 3	2	4	6		
Net Precipitation	0 1 2 3	1	0	3		
Permeability of the Unsaturated Zone	0 1 2 3	1	2	3		
Physical State	0 1 2 3	1	1	3		
Total Route Characteristics Score			7	15		
3 Containment	0 1 2 3	1	3	3	3.3	
4 Waste Characteristics					3.4	
Toxicity/Persistence	0 3 6 9 12 15 18	1	18	18		
Hazardous Waste Quantity	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	1	1	8		
Total Waste Characteristics Score			19	26		
5 Targets					3.5	
Ground Water Use	0 1 2 3	3	9	9		
Distance to Nearest Well - Population Served	3 4 6 8 10 12 16 18 22 24 30 32 35 40	1	35	40		
Total Targets Score			44	49		
6 If line 1 is 45, multiply 1 x 4 x 4 If line 1 is 0, multiply 2 x 2 x 4 x 4			17,550	57,330		
7 Divide line 6 by 57,330 and multiply by 100			S <sub>W</sub> = 30.6			

GROUND WATER ROUTE WORK SHEET



No surface water route -  
Waste material is buried, if any

Surface Water Route Work Sheet						
Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi-plier	Score	Max. Score	Ref. (Section)	
<b>1</b> Observed Release	0      45	1		45	4.1	
If observed release is given a value of 45, proceed to line <b>21</b> . If observed release is given a value of 0, proceed to line <b>21</b> .						
<b>2</b> Route Characteristics					4.2	
Facility Slope and Intervening Terrain	0 1 2 3	1		3		
1-yr. 24-hr. Rainfall	0 1 2 3	1		3		
Distance to Nearest Surface Water	0 1 2 3	2		6		
Physical State	0 1 2 3	1		3		
Total Route Characteristics Score				15		
<b>3</b> Containment	0 1 2 3	1		3	4.3	
<b>4</b> Waste Characteristics					4.4	
Toxicity/Persistence	0 3 6 9 12 15 18	1		18		
Hazardous Waste Quantity	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 1	1		8		
Total Waste Characteristics Score				25		
<b>5</b> Targets					4.5	
Surface Water Use	0 1 2 3	3		9		
Distance to a Sensitive Environment	0 1 2 3	2		6		
Population Served/Distance to Water Intake Downstream	0 4 8 8 10 12 15 18 20 24 30 32 35 40	1		40		
Total Targets Score				55		
<b>6</b> If line <b>1</b> is 45, multiply <b>5</b> x <b>21</b> x <b>4</b> If line <b>1</b> is 0, multiply <b>21</b> x <b>3</b> x <b>21</b> x <b>2</b>				54,350		
<b>7</b> Divide line <b>6</b> by 64,350 and multiply by 100				$S_{SW} =$	0	

SURFACE WATER ROUTE WORK SHEET

1. The first part of the report is a general introduction to the subject of the study. It discusses the importance of the problem and the objectives of the research. The second part is a literature review, which summarizes the work of other researchers in the field. The third part is a description of the methods used in the study. The fourth part is a presentation of the results of the study. The fifth part is a discussion of the results and their implications. The sixth part is a conclusion and a list of references.

2. The first part of the report is a general introduction to the subject of the study. It discusses the importance of the problem and the objectives of the research. The second part is a literature review, which summarizes the work of other researchers in the field. The third part is a description of the methods used in the study. The fourth part is a presentation of the results of the study. The fifth part is a discussion of the results and their implications. The sixth part is a conclusion and a list of references.

3. The first part of the report is a general introduction to the subject of the study. It discusses the importance of the problem and the objectives of the research. The second part is a literature review, which summarizes the work of other researchers in the field. The third part is a description of the methods used in the study. The fourth part is a presentation of the results of the study. The fifth part is a discussion of the results and their implications. The sixth part is a conclusion and a list of references.

No Air route possible, waste buried, if any

Air Route Work Sheet						
Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi- plier	Score	Max. Score	Ref. Section	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 Observed Release	0      45	1		45	5.1	
Date and Location:						
Sampling Protocol:						
If line <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 is 0, the $S_3 = 0$ . Enter on line <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4. If line <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 is 45, then proceed to line <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2.						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 Waste Characteristics					5.2	
Reactivity and Incompatibility	0 1 2 3	1		3		
Toxicity	0 1 2 3	3		9		
Hazardous Waste Quantity	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	1		8		
Total Waste Characteristics Score				20		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3 Targets					5.3	
Population Within 4-Mile Radius	0 9 12 15 18 21 24 27 30	1		30		
Distance to Sensitive Environment	0 1 2 3	2		6		
Land Use	0 1 2 3	1		3		
Total Targets Score				39		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 Multiply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 $\times$ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 $\times$ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3				35,100		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Divide line <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 by 35,100 and multiply by 100				$S_3 =$		

AIR ROUTE WORK SHEET





	s	s <sup>2</sup>
Groundwater Route Score (S <sub>gw</sub> )	30.6	
Surface Water Route Score (S <sub>sw</sub> )		0
Air Route Score (S <sub>a</sub> )	2	0
$S_{gw}^2 + S_{sw}^2 + S_a^2$		-
$\sqrt{S_{gw}^2 + S_{sw}^2 + S_a^2}$		30.6
$\sqrt{S_{gw}^2 + S_{sw}^2 + S_a^2} / 1.73 = S_M =$		17.68

WORKSHEET FOR COMPUTING S<sub>M</sub>





POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE  
DISPOSITION

REGION 10 SITE NUMBER WAD 98176622

File this form in the regional Hazardous Waste Log File and submit a copy to: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; Site Tracking System; Hazardous Waste Enforcement Task Force (EN-335); 401 M St., SW; Washington, DC 20460.

I. SITE IDENTIFICATION

A. SITE NAME American Tar Company	B. STREET North 111 Erie Street	
C. CITY Spokane, WA	D. STATE WA	E. ZIP CODE 99202

II. TENTATIVE DISPOSITION

Indicate the recommended action(s) and agency(ies) that should be involved by marking 'X' in the appropriate boxes.

RECOMMENDATION	MARK 'X'	ACTION AGENCY			
		EPA	STATE	LOCAL	PRIVATE
A. NO ACTION NEEDED -- NO HAZARD					
B. INVESTIGATIVE ACTION(S) NEEDED (If yes, complete Section III.)	X				
C. REMEDIAL ACTION NEEDED (If yes, complete Section IV.)					
D. ENFORCEMENT ACTION NEEDED (if yes, specify in Part E whether the case will be primarily managed by the EPA or the State and what type of enforcement action is anticipated.)					

E. RATIONALE FOR DISPOSITION / SOURCES OF INFORMATION  
Presence of coal tar residue noted at site. Also, coal tar from adjacent Spokane coal mfg. site was refined at American Tar site into a variety of products. Potential exists for public health / environmental damage.  
KSOB  
see PA.

F. INDICATE THE ESTIMATED DATE OF FINAL DISPOSITION (mo., day, & yr.)	G. IF A CASE DEVELOPMENT PLAN IS NECESSARY, INDICATE THE ESTIMATED DATE ON WHICH THE PLAN WILL BE DEVELOPED (mo., day, & yr.)
---	---

H. PREPARER INFORMATION

1. NAME Deborah Flood	2. TELEPHONE NUMBER 442-2722	3. DATE (mo., day, & yr.) 5/7/87
--------------------------	---------------------------------	-------------------------------------

III. INVESTIGATIVE ACTIVITY NEEDED

A. IDENTIFY ADDITIONAL INFORMATION NEEDED TO ACHIEVE A FINAL DISPOSITION.

B. PROPOSED INVESTIGATIVE ACTIVITY (Detailed Information)

1. METHOD FOR OBTAINING NEEDED ADDITIONAL INFO.	2. SCHEDULED DATE OF ACTION (mo., day, & yr.)	3. TO BE PERFORMED BY (EPA, Contractor, State, etc.)	4. ESTIMATED MANHOURS	5. REMARKS
a. TYPE OF SITE INSPECTION				
(1)				
(2)				
(3)				
b. TYPE OF MONITORING				
(1)				
(2)				
c. TYPE OF SAMPLING				
(1)				
(2)				





AUG 03 1987

HW-113

Richard Brown  
North 111 Erie Street  
Spokane, Washington 99202

Dear Mr. Brown:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), through its contractor Ecology and Environment (E&E), has completed the preliminary assessment (PA) reports compiled for the former Spokane Gas Manufacturing and the former American Tar Company sites in Spokane, Washington. Copies of the reports are enclosed. These reports are being sent to you since you are the owner of the former gas manufacturing property and you currently operate on the former American Tar site. I am also providing a copy of the PA for the former American Tar property to the current property owner, Burlington Northern, as well as the past property owner/operator, American Tar.

Based on these PAs, further investigation is recommended at the American Tar site. The outcome of such investigation will be evaluated by EPA before conducting any further activity at the former Spokane Gas Manufacturing site. These recommendations are outlined in each report on page six.

A site inspection has not yet been scheduled. EPA will work with you and Burlington Northern to schedule further investigation. If you have any questions, please telephone me at (206) 442-2722.

Sincerely,

Deborah Flood  
Environmental Protection Specialist  
Superfund Program

Enclosure

cc: Walter D. Farrel, Burlington Northern, Spokane  
Emily Ray, Washington Department of Ecology, Olympia  
Sherman Spencer, Ecology, Eastern Region  
David Distler, American Tar Company

 M. Anderson P.C. 0935P 7/24/87  
8/3/87

THE

THE

THE

THE

THE